

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MAURICE DAVIS,)	Case No.: 12-CV-02999-LHK
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	ORDER DENYING SECOND <i>EX PARTE</i>
v.)	MOTION FOR TEMPORARY
)	RESTRAINING ORDER
UNITED STATES OLYMPIC COMMITTEE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

On June 11, 2012, Plaintiff Maurice Davis (“Plaintiff”) filed a Complaint against the United States Olympic Committee (“Defendant”), in which he sought an *ex parte* temporary restraining order (“TRO”) “directing the United States Olympic Committee to let Plaintiff compete in the Paralympic swim trials that will take place from June 14-16, 2012, at Bismarck State College.” ECF No. 1 (“Compl.”) at 8-9. Plaintiff alleged that he suffered discrimination on the basis of race and would be irreparably harmed in the absence of preliminary injunctive relief. On June 12, 2012, the Court denied Plaintiff’s TRO for failure to comply with the procedural requirements governing the filing of an *ex parte* TRO and for failure to show a likelihood of success on the merits. *See* ECF No. 4.

On July 3, 2012, Plaintiff filed an Amendment to his Complaint, in which he renews his *ex parte* application for a temporary restraining order. *See* ECF No. 5. Plaintiff appends four additional pages of factual allegations and argument to a copy of his original Complaint, in further support of his original request for a TRO. However, the additional allegations and argument do not

1 show a likelihood of success on the merits for the reasons discussed in the Order denying
2 Plaintiff's first *ex parte* request for a TRO. See ECF No. 4 at 2-3.

3 Moreover, Plaintiff requests the same relief as before, i.e., "[f]or an immediate temporary
4 restraining order directing the United States Olympic Committee to let Plaintiff compete in the
5 Paralympic swim trials that will take place from June 14-16, 2012, at Bismarck State College."
6 ECF No. 5 at 12. The event that Plaintiff seeks to enjoin has already occurred. Because Plaintiff
7 did not file his amended *ex parte* request for a TRO until July 3, 2012, seventeen days after the
8 Paralympic swim trials allegedly transpired, Plaintiff cannot show that he will be irreparably
9 harmed in the absence of preliminary injunctive relief.

10 Accordingly, Plaintiff's second request for a TRO is DENIED for failure to show a
11 likelihood of success on the merits and for mootness.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13
14 Dated: July 9, 2012

15 
16 LUCY H. KOH
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28